# The contrail mitigation potential of aircraft formation flight derived from high-resolution simulations

S. Unterstrasser



## Knowledge for Tomorrow

#### **Short Introduction**

Learn from migratory birds; flying in a V-formation saves energy [Lissaman and Shollenberger, 1970; Weimerskirch et al., 2001]

In a formation of two aircraft, the follower aircraft can save up to 20% fuel by flying in the upwash region of the leader aircraft [Beukenberg & Hummel, 1990; Blake & Multhopp, 1998; Nangia & Palmer, 2007]

➤Fuel benefits directly translate into reduced a C0<sub>2</sub> footprint; 5 - 10% reduction are expected.

>Moreover, the contrail climate effect could be substantially reduced.







#### **Basic facts**

Contrails are produced in air colder than around 225K. They are persistent if the air is moist enough.

- Contrails and their ice crystals grow by uptake of atmospheric water vapour. The contribution of the initial water vapour emission to the total contrail ice mass becomes negligible.
  - => Saturation effects are expected when contrails are produced in close proximity.

#### ➤Basic thought experiment:

- o Two aircraft fly independently of each other and produce two separate contrails.
- $\circ$  In a formation, those two aircraft produce a single contrail.
- o If this single contrail has properties similar to those of the two separate contrails
  - => the climate impact is roughly halved.

# Do contrails behind a two aircraft formation differ from those behind a single aircraft?



#### **High-resolution contrail simulations**



Use large-eddy simulation (LES) model EULAG [Smolarkiewicz et al , 2014] in combination with ice microphysics code LCM [Sölch & Kärcher, 2010]

#### Early contrail evolution Interaction with wake vortices



Important early phenomena:

Vertical expansionIce crystal loss

Early contrail properties have long-lasting impact on contrail-cirrus properties

# Impact of aircraft type on contrail cirrus properties



#### [Unterstrasser & Görsch, 2014]



#### **High-resolution contrail simulations**

Use large-eddy simulation (LES) model EULAG [Smolarkiewicz et al , 2014] in combination with ice microphysics code LCM [Sölch & Kärcher, 2010]



Cross-section of 5 min old contrail

3 km deep layer of the upper troposphere

Prescribe specific atmospheric scenario

### **High-resolution contrail simulations**



Use large-eddy simulation (LES) model EULAG [Smolarkiewicz et al , 2014] in combination with ice microphysics code LCM [Sölch & Kärcher, 2010]





[Unterstrasser et al, 2017a,b]

3 km deep layer of the upper troposphere

Prescribe specific atmospheric scenario

Simulate contrail spreading

Compute total extinction E and total ice mass I, which serve as proxy metrics for contrail radiative forcing.

## Young contrails behind formations and behind single aircraft

Early contrail evolution governed by complex four vortex system





## Young contrails behind formations and behind single aircraft



## Early contrail evolution governed by complex four vortex system





Young "formation" contrails are less deep, but broader than "single AC" contrails. Moreover, they contain 3 to 5 times more ice crystals

#### **Differences in contrail-cirrus evolution**

Time evolution of total quantities for one specific atmospheric scenario

REF = single aircraft case
FORMIC = two aircraft formation case
REF \* 2 = two independent aircraft





Comparison of "**FORMIC**" with "**REF \* 2**" shows strong saturation effects

Use lifetime-integrated values for further comparison. Normalize **"FORMIC**"-values by **"REF \* 2**"-values





#### **Saturation effect**

$$RH_{i} = \frac{110\%}{120\%} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta T = 2K - 4K \\ + w05 \\ \pm w02 \\ \Rightarrow w01 \\ \Delta s6 \end{bmatrix}$$



Normalized ("FORMIC"/ "REF \*2") and lifetime-integrated values evaluate the contrail reduction by formation flight.

A value of 0.6, e. g., means that the contrail effect is reduced by 40%



Reduction in contrail strength (in terms of total extinction and total ice mass) by 20% to 55% due to formation flight.

Unterstrasser, S: The contrail mitigation potential of aircraft formation flight derived from high-resolution simulations, *Aerospace* **7(12)**, 170 <u>Article (open-access)</u>.



#### Summary

Reduction in contrail strength (in terms of total extinction and total ice mass) by 20% to 55% due to formation flight.

Feed those numbers into a global model and combine it with emission inventories for formation flight=> obtain a first global estimate of formation flight mitigation potential (further FORMIC talks by K. Dahlmann and T. Marks tomorrow) Contrails were compared for a representative set of atmospheric scenarios. Yet, the present study does not account for effects of changing flight altitudes or geographical distributions of flight routes.

> This work contributed to the project FORMIC (Formation Flight Impact on Climate) funded by BmWi

## **Questions?**



#### References

Lissaman, P.; Shollenberger, C. Formation flight of birds. *Science* **1970**, *168*, 1003–1005.

Weimerskirch, H.; Martin, J.; Clerquin, Y.; Alexandre, P.; Jiraskova, S. Energy saving in flight formation. *Nature* **2001**, *413*, 697–698.

Beukenberg, M.; Hummel, D. Aerodynamics, Performance and Control of Airplanes in Formation Flight. In Paper 90-5.9.3; ICAS, 1990.

Blake, W.; Multhopp, D. Design, performance and modeling considerations for close formation flight. In *Guidance, Navigation, and Control and Co-located Conferences*; AIAA, 1998.

Nangia, R.; Palmer, M. Formation Flying of Commercial Aircraft, Variations in Relative Size/Spacing - Induced Effects & Control Induced Effects & Co

Smolarkiewicz, P.; Kühnlein, C.; Wedi, N. A consistent framework for discrete integrations of soundproof and compressible PDEs of atmospheric dynamics. *J. Comput. Phys.* **2014**, *263*, 185–205.

Sölch, I.; Kärcher, B. A large-eddy model for cirrus clouds with explicit aerosol and ice microphysics and Lagrangian ice particle tracking. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **2010**, *136*, 2074–2093.

Unterstrasser, S. Large eddy simulation study of contrail microphysics and geometry during the vortex phase and consequences on contrail-tocirrus transition. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2014**, *119*, 7537–7555.

Unterstrasser, S.; Görsch, N. Aircraft-type dependency of contrail evolution. J. Geophys. Res. 2014, 119, 14,015–14,027. 2014JD022642.

Unterstrasser, S.; Gierens, K.; Sölch, I.; Lainer, M. Numerical simulations of homogeneously nucleated natural cirrus and contrail-cirrus. Part 1: How different are they? *Meteorol. Z.* **2017**, *26*, 621–642.

Unterstrasser, S.; Gierens, K.; Sölch, I.; Wirth, M. Numerical simulations of homogeneously nucleated natural cirrus and contrail-cirrus. Part 2: Interaction on local scale. *Meteorol. Z.* **2017**, *26*, 643–661.

Unterstrasser, S.; Stephan, A. Far field wake vortex evolution of two aircraft formation flight and implications on young contrails. *Aeronaut. J.* **2020**, *124*, 667–702.

Unterstrasser, S: The contrail mitigation potential of aircraft formation flight derived from high-resolution simulations, *Aerospace* **7(12)**, 170 <u>Article (open-access)</u>.

