Chemical Characterization of Ultrafine Particles near a Large International Airport



Alexander L. Vogel¹

🖂 ungeheuer@iau.uni-frankfurt.de

Abstract

1

We present the results from a chemical characterization study of ultrafine particles (UFP). These particles receive increasing attention due to the fact that airports are suspected to be a major source of particles smaller than 100 nm [1] [2]. UFP can be transported over long distances towards urban areas and can cause various negative health effects by being able to penetrate the pulmonary tissue [3] [4]. Cascade impactor samples were collected at an air quality monitoring station nearby

Frankfurt airport, at which particle size distribution measurements show high number concentrations of particles <50 nm during the corresponding wind direction. Optimization of the filter extraction procedure, the chromatographic separation and the measurement process resulted in a sensitive method that revealed the chemical composition of the ambient UFP. For each cascade impactor stage (0.010-0.018 µm; 0.018-0.032 μm; 0.032-0.056 μm) we created molecular fingerprints (molecular weight (MW) vs retention time, Van-Krevelen-diagram, Kroll-diagram, Kendrick mass defect vs. MW) in order to visualize the complex chemical composition of the compounds detected. Unambiguous identification of molecules originating from different lubricant base stocks and its additives was achieved through matching retention time, exact mass and MS/MS fragmentation pattern compared to a selection of commercially available jet engine lubrication oils.



Jet engine lubrication oils

- Responsible for lubrication and cooling of turbine bearings
- Designed to be stable against thermal stress and chemical decay.
- Composed of a synthetic base stock material and different additives. Lubrication oil recovery systems clean and reuse the oil
- in a circulating stream.
- Obstructed seals and venting systems lead to the release of jet oil droplets to the atmosphere [5].





Figure 3. The sample to blank ratios of the detected compounds, averaged over all filters belonging to a certain size distribution stage (0.010-0.018 μm; 0.018-0.032 μm; 0.032-0.056 μm) are shown in logarithmic scale.

- The area of the sample signals is background corrected by the corresponding field blank.
- The sample signal must be more than five times larger than the blank signal (Fig. 3).
- The minimum area is 1.0×10^5 .
- The minimum retention time is 0.7 min.
- Detection of compounds is accomplished with a mass tolerance of 5 ppm.
- The mass tolerance for the molecular formula prediction is reduced to 2 ppm.
- Elements for the identification: $C_1 H_1$ to $C_{90} H_{190}$ $\operatorname{Br}_{3}\operatorname{Cl}_{4}\operatorname{N}_{4}\operatorname{O}_{20}\operatorname{P}_{1}\operatorname{S}_{3}$.
- The compounds are classified as CHO. CHN. CHNO, CHOS, CHNOS, CHOP and other.
- Over 900 detected compounds are reduced to almost 200 compounds.

Conclusion

TROPOS

eibniz-Institut für

Troposphärenforschung



- smaller than 56 nm.



Florian Ungeheuer¹, Dominik van Pinxteren², Diana Rose³, Florian Ditas³, Stefan Jacobi³, Hartmut Herrmann²,

Figure 1. Map of the sampling site in a distance of 4 km t Frankfurt airport. The wind data for the sampling periods was provided by the metrological station at Frankfurt airport (ICAO Code: EDDF) of the Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD)

Frankfurt airport is one of the biggest airports in Europe with more than 500,000 flight operations in 2018 shared over four runways.

The measuring site is located in a forested area with no highly frequented streets in distance under 1 km.

The prevailing wind direction directing southwest the 0 towards center Frankfurt.

1

ECATS International Association

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, Goethe-University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, 60438, Germany ²Atmospheric Chemistry Department (ACD), Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Leipzig, 04318, Germany ³Department for Ambient Air Quality, Hessian Agency for Nature Conservation, Environment and Geology, Wiesbaden, 65203, Germany



Method

3.1 Sampling

- Aluminium filter samples were collected with a 13 stage Micro Orifice Uniform Deposition Impactor (Nano-MOUDI, Model 115, MSP, Minneapolis, MN, USA).
- Particles are collected on the three stages differentiated regarding their diameters aerodvnamic (0.010-0.018 μm, 0.018-0.032 μm, 0.032-0.056 μm)
- Filter loadings in the sub μg range of total particle mass 30-50 hours of after sampling



ECATS 3rd Conference 13-15 October 2020

3.3 UHPLC/HRMS method

- Chromatographic separation of homologue series of hydrophobic and high weight organic molecular compounds
- Ionization heated bv electrospray ionization (HESI) in positive mode.
- phase Reversed column operated in gradient mode $(H_2O/MeOH)$, thermostated at 40 °C.
- Detection limits of low picogram levels of organic molecules

3.4 Non-target screening

- Full scan MS-spectra analysis by the non-target software
- Identification of substances and determination of their
- mass, isotopic signature, fragmentation pattern and
- Visualization by molecular fingerprints with restrictions regarding the assigned

Figure 7. Comparison of the pentaerythritol- and the trimethylolpropane ester ratios of five different jet engine oils with the UFP samples. The ratio of the peak area of each ester compound to the area sum of all

References:

- [1] Yu et al., Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 9630–9637 (2012).
- [2] Fushimi et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 6389–6399 (2019).
- [3] Hudda and Fruin, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 3362–3370 (2016).
- [4] Jonsdottir et al., Commun Biol 2, 90 (2019).
- [5] Yu et al., *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 9530–9534 (2010).
- [6] Kroll et al., *Nat. Chem.* **3**, 133-139 (2011).