

CERTH CENTRE FOR RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY HELLAS



## **SUSTAINABLE HEFAS FOR AVIATION**

#### Dr. Stella Bezergianni, Dr. Loukia P. Chrysikou



2<sup>nd</sup> ECATS Conference: Making aviation environmentally sustainable 7-9 November 2016, Athens, Greece



#### **Biomass Conversion to Biofuels**

#### <u>Renewable</u>

- ? Low H/C ratio
- ? Contains water
  - Corrosion problems
- ? High oxygen content (aldehydes, acids, cetones)
  - Reduced heating value
  - Reduced oxidation stability
  - Increased acidity



Engine performance problems



#### Biomass requires H/C increase, oxygen and H<sub>2</sub>O removal





## **Aviation Biofuels & CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Potential**



Adapted by Booz & Company, WEF, Davos 2011

#### Measures to achieve CO,-reduction targets

MMA



## Which Biofuels Technology?



MM

S. Bezergianni and L. Chrysikou, Sustainable HEFAs for Aviation

Enerav



- Limit aviation GHG emissions' impact on global climate
  - Target: 60% GHG emissions reduction over fossil fuels
- Limit aviation emissions' impact on local air quality
- Meet stringent sustainability standards with respect to land, water and energy use
  - Avoid direct and indirect land use change impacts
  - Not displace or compete with food/feed crops
- Provide a positive socio-economic impact
- Exhibit minimal impact on biodiversity
- Reduce the number of people affected by significant aircraft noise
- Enable harmonisation of sustainability standards in all countries









- Bio kerosene specifications relevant for Europe
  - ASTM D1655 and D7566 (US specifications)
    - » ASTM D1655: Standard specification for Jet A-1 kerosene for civil aviation use
    - » ASTM D7566: Specification for Synthetic kerosene & blends of synthetic (max 50%) and conventional kerosene (Blends meeting ASTM D7566 are by definition ASTM D1655 kerosene and can be used like conventional kerosene)
  - DefStan 91-91 (European specification)







#### **Aviation Biofuels' Quality Challenge**

	ASTM D1655	ASTM D7566	Reason of expanded quality requirements of aviation biofuels
Aromatics (% v)	25 (max)	<mark>8</mark> -25	Minimum enforced to maintain engine components (ex. seals), as some biofuels do not have aromatics
Distillation T50-T10 (°C)	NA	15 (min)	Ensure proper and smooth range boing range distribution
Distillation T90-T10 (°C)	NA	40 (min)	Ensure proper and smooth range boing range distribution
Lubricity (mm)	NA	0.85	Specified to ensure smooth operation of moving engine parts, as biofuels are pure HC w/o polar acids

All other quality specs are identical (acidity, sulfur, mercaptans, density, flash point, viscosity, energy density, smoke point, distillation residue, corrosion, thermal stability, electrical conductivity, contaminants)







## **Aviation Biofuels Types**

	Pros	Cons	Applications
FTs	<ul> <li>Lignocellulosic feedstock (agro/forest residues)</li> <li>High sustainability</li> </ul>	<ul><li>High capital costs</li><li>Questionable economics</li><li>Low aromatics</li></ul>	<ul> <li>No commercial production</li> <li>BioTfuel project pilot (2016 ?)</li> </ul>
HEFAs	Technology available	<ul> <li>High production costs (1700- 2400\$/t)</li> <li>Low aromatics</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Plants in operation</li><li>Fuel tested in flights</li></ul>
ATJs	Under development	<ul> <li>Low aromatics (need additives)</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Demo plant in operation</li><li>Fuel tested in flights</li></ul>
СН	<ul> <li>High aromatics</li> </ul>	Low aromatics	<ul> <li>Demo plant in operation</li> </ul>





## Which Biofuels Technology?



MM

IELLAS

Enerav

Resources

## **HEFAs Production Technology**



MW

#### Hydrotreating Reactions (1/2)



- R : unsaturated aliphatic chain
- ${\bf R}\,$  : saturated aliphatic chain







 $R_1 = R_2 + R_3$ 







 Reactions associated with HEFA production based on a range of large and unsaturated fatty acids content of lipid feedstocks

	Reaction type	Reaction	H <sub>2</sub> /oil (mol/100mol)
1	Triglyceride hydrogenolysis*	$ \begin{array}{c} & \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	2.100
2	Saturation	$R-(CH=CH)_n-CH_3 + n \cdot H_2 \longrightarrow R-CH_2-CH_2-CH_3$	3•n•(50-225)***
3	Deoxygenation**	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{R-CH}_2\text{COOH} &+ 3 \cdot \text{H}_2 &\longrightarrow & \text{R-CH}_2\text{CH}_3 &+ 2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ \text{R-CH}_2\text{COOH} &+ \text{H}_2 &\longrightarrow & \text{R-CH}_3 &+ & \text{CO} &+ & \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ \text{R-CH}_2\text{COOH} &+ & \text{H}_2 &\longrightarrow & \text{R-CH}_3 &+ & \text{CO}_2 \end{array}$	3·100·(1-3)
4	Isomerization	$R-CH_2-CH_2-CH_3 \longrightarrow R-CH-CH_3$ I $CH_3$	0
5	Hydrocracking	$ R-CH_2-CH_2-CH_3 + H_2 \longrightarrow R-H + CH_3-CH_2-CH_3 $	3•(78-95)***







#### **Lipid Sources**

- Plant oils
  - Triglycerides
  - Coconut oil renders 85% jet range (C10-C14) molecules

- Microalgae oils
  - Mostly FFAs
  - Trichodesmium erythraeum renders 55% of jet molecules





Process and

Energy Resource:



#### Lipid Source Type vs. H<sub>2</sub> Consumption

		Plant	oils	-		Microa	algae oil	S	
Reaction type	Sunflower	Rape	Palm	Coconut	Dunaliella sp.	Chlorella sp.	Nannochloropsis Oceanica	Trichodesmium erythraeum sp.	Comments
1. Hydrogenolysis	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<ul> <li>No H<sub>2</sub> consumption during hydrogenolysis of microalgal lipids</li> </ul>
2. Saturation	6.3	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	<ul> <li>Significant H<sub>2</sub> consumption during this step</li> <li>Need for saturated lipids feedstocks (some plant oils)</li> <li>Can be improved for microalgae-based species by improving cultivation strategy &amp; genetic modification of algae strain</li> </ul>
3. Deoxygenation	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<ul> <li>Highest H<sub>2</sub> consumption</li> <li>Can be improved by catalyst design optimization</li> </ul>
4. Isomerization	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Comparable consumption (negligible)
5. Hydrocracking	2.6	2.6	2.5	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.4	<ul> <li>Comparable H<sub>2</sub> consumption for all species</li> <li>Can be improved for microalgae-based species only by improving cultivation strategy &amp; genetic modification of algae strain</li> </ul>
Total (mol H <sub>2</sub> /mol oil)	16.9	12.0	11.1	8.5	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.4	
Total (mol H₂/mol jet)	5.6	4.0	3.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.4	



**CPERI** 

15

Chemical

Energy

Process and

sources



## Microalgal Oil Experimental Tests

- Evaluate different microalgae species
  - Stichococcus sp., Nannochloropsis sp., Botryococcus braunii
- Develop technology for microalgal oil extraction and conversion to 3G-biofuels







MICROALGAE-BIO-PRODUCTS

A. Karapatsia, et.al., 10<sup>th</sup> European Symposium on Biochemical Engineering Sciences and 6<sup>th</sup> International Forum on Industrial Bioprocesses, September 7-10, 2014, Lille, France



## **Overall HEFAs Production** Carbon Footprint





Sources:

[1] JRC Technical Report, 2014

[2] Spath, P.L., Mann, M.K. NREL report DE-AC36-99-GO10337, 2001

[3] Handler R.M., et.al.. Algal Research, 1, pp. 83-92, 2012

[4]. Medeiros, et.al. Journal of Cleaner Production, 96, pp.493-500,2015







### **Limits & Opportunities of HEFAs**



- High production costs
  - Mostly related with lipid cultivation/extraction
- Current low crude oil prices
- Low aromatics



- Favorable carbon footprint
  - For some (not all) lipid sources
- Potential lipid integration in underlying refineries Hybrid jet







Next Steps...

#### Horizon 20-20 project: Reliable Bio-based Refinery Intermediates - BIOMATES





#### Thank you for your attention

For more information:









#### **Extra Slides**



### Catalytic Hydrotreating & Biomass Upgrading

- Common refining conversion technology
  - H/C ratio increase
  - Heteroatom (S, N, O) and metals removal
  - High conversion
  - Feedstock variability
  - No by-products
- Most <u>effective</u> technology for biomass upgrading





## Waste Lipids Upgrading ...





www.biofuels2g.gr

- Better combustion (increased cetane)
- More economic (high HHV)
- More stable (no TAN, high IP)
- Sustainable



- Bezergianni, S. et al. (2014) Fuel **118**:300
- Bezergianni, S.,et al. (2012), Fuel, 93:638
- Bezergianni, S., et al. (2011), Ind.Eng.Che.Res., **50**(7):3874
- Bezergianni, S., et al. (2010), Biores. Techn., 101(19):7658
   Bezergianni, S., et al. (2010), Biores. Techn., 101(17):2654
- Bezergianni, S., et al. (2010), Biores. Techn. 101(17):6651



S. Bezergianni and L. Chrysikou, Sustainable HEFAs for Aviation

ocess and



# Is it possible to integrate biomass in refineries?

#### **Co-Processing Biomass** Technical & Environmental Targets



#### A. Technical feasibility

- Utilize existing infrastructure
- Maintain similar operation
- Maintain same product quality
- B. Environmental performance
  - Mitigate energy consumption
  - Reduction of emissions (WTT)





## **Technical Feasibility Assessment**

- Evaluation of hydrotreating catalyst
- Determine optimal operating conditions
  - T, P, H<sub>2</sub>/oil, LHSV
- Oetermine max WCO mixing ratio
- 4 Evaluate hybrid diesel
  - GHG emissions
  - engine performance







#### Catalyst Evaluation Heteroatom Removal







#### Catalyst Evaluation Deactivation Rate

- Catalyst deactivation rate is extremely important for catalyst selection
- Deactivation rate determined based on desulfurization efficiency at different DOS
- WCO by-product CO<sub>2</sub> has a suspending role in HDS/HDN

Gasoil

100

80

60

40

20

0

ERTH

SEARCH & TECHNOLOGY

330°C

HDS (%)



#### Effect of Biomass Content Heteroatom Removal & Diesel Yields

**Diesel yields - Conversion sim** 

 Diesel yield favored with increasing WCO

ANA.

ERTH

TECHNOLOGY

- WCO contained triglycerides can be more easily converted into diesel range hydrocarbons
- Desulfurization is not limited by WCO





Biomass integration favors diesel yields and renders low S diesel

Bezergianni, S. et al (2014), Fuel, 136:366



#### Effect of Biomass Content Hydrogen Consumption

- Hydrogen consumption affects process economics
- Consumption Normalised\* Hydrogen 1.2 consumption 1.1 increases due to underlying HDO kinetics
- Smaller WCO rates (<90%) are preferred for economic feasibility of WCO integration
  - $5-10\% \text{WCO} \rightarrow 7.5-8.5$ % increase in  $H_2$ consumption

No more than 10% H<sub>2</sub> consumption increase for less than 10% WCO



30



S. Bezergianni and L. Chrysikou, Sustainable HEFAs for Aviation

1.5

1.4

1.3

1



WCO percent in the feed (%)



#### **Quality Assessment** Hybrid vs. Market Diesel

Properties	Units	Hybrid diesel	Market diesel
Density	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	825,8	829,2
Flash point	°C	61	67
Sulpur	wppm	8,2	5,8
Viscosity (40°C)	cSt	2,996	3,066
Cetane index		59,1	58,3
Cetane number		56,7	55,7
Water	wppm	100	60
CFPP	°C	-2	0
Polyaromatics	%w/w	1,1	1,4
Lubricity	μm	181	174
Recovery 95% v/v	°C	353	353,2
Recovery at 250°C	%v/v	26,8	25,8





S. Bezergianni and L. Chrysikou, Sustainable HEFAs for Aviation

**CPERI** 

Chemical

inerav

Process and



### Environmental Performance Assessment

Fuel* type	gCO <sub>2</sub> eq/MJ
Market diesel	16.97
Hybrid diesel (WCO co-processing)	9.32
Diesel with WCO-based HVO	14.15



\* All fuels have a total 7% v/v bio-content

Co-processing of biomass in refinery is environmentally and economically more sustainable allowing extended integration of biomass in transportation sector.







## Stand-alone Biomass Upgrading vs. Co-processing

#### Stand-alone biomass upgrading

- Produces drop-in biofuels (FAME, ethanol, HVOs, etc)
  - Technical limitations may be associated with end-use
- Requires infrastructure large CAPEX
- Utility intensive processes (fuel, NG, H<sub>2</sub> etc)
- Ambiguous environmental benefits

#### **Biomass co-processing**

- Renders hybrid fuels (decarb gasoline, jet, diesel)
  - Fully compatible with fossil counterparts
- Utilizes existing infrastructure Iow CAPEX
- Employs underlying utilities of refineries
- Clear reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during production







Conclusions

- Catalytic hydrotreatment is a key technology for biomass upgrading
  - Bio-based intermediates & lipids upgrading
  - Compatibility with fossil fuels, attractive properties
- Co-hydroprocessing can allow immediate and sustainable biomass integration with energy markets
  - No requirement of investments on new infrastructure
  - No significant technology limitations
  - Improvement of fuel sustainability (lower carbon foot-print)
  - Optimal way of integrating biomass in transportation sector from an environmental and economic point of view







#### **Biomass & Biofuels**

#### BIOMASS

- Energy crops
  - Sunflower, rapeseed, cotton, corn, barley, soy, sweet- sorghum, sugarbeet etc
- Lignocellulosic material
  - Wood, paper industry waste, forestry waste etc
- Animal fats
- Agricultural and municipal waste
- Waste cooking oil/fats
- Algae



S. Bezergianni and L. Chrysikou, Sustainable HEFAs for Aviation



36

#### Fuels produced from biomass

#### FAME Biodiesel Most Common Biofuel in EU

- Fatty Acid Methyl Esters, FAME
  - Produced via transesterification of fatty acids
- Lipid feedstocks / Triglycerides
  - Rape-seed oil, sunflower oil, cotton oil, tallow, waste cooking oil etc
  - Require dedicated cultivated areas S Food vs. Fuel
- Properties

& TECHNOLOGY

- Environmentally friendly (reduced SO<sub>x</sub>, CO, aromatics)
- Higher cetane number and lubricity
- Reduced cold flow properties
- Low oxidation stability
- Tend to decompose hydroperoxides, acids, cetones
- Utilized as mixture with conventional diesel
  - B5 to B20 (higher FAME content renders problems in warmer countries)
    - No major engine modifications required





<sup>nd</sup> 37



#### **Bioethanol** Most Popular Biofuel Worldwide

- Sugar and starch feedstocks
  - Sugar-cane, sugarbeet, sweet-sorghum, corn, potato, etc
  - Require dedicated cultivated areas 
     Food vs. Fuel
- Properties
  - Similar combustion with gasoline
  - Reduction of emissions
  - Higher octane number
    - » Employed as octane booster
  - Increase engine performance
  - Requires high purity ethanol in gasoline mixtures (99.5-99.9%)
- Utilized in mixtures with gasoline
  - Most common E10, ideal E85 (FFVs)







